

Organisation	Subject	Link	Status	Comments	Risk Ref
HM Treasury	Reforms to public sector exit payments.	<a href="https://services.parliament.uk/bills/2017-19/publicsectorexitpaymentslimitation.html">https://services.parliament.uk/bills/2017-19/publicsectorexitpaymentslimitation.html</a>	No change	<p>A 'final' consultation on this topic closed on 3 July 2019. The main proposal is that all employer costs (pension and non-pension) are capped at £95k when an employee leaves on grounds such as a compromise agreement or redundancy. For redundancy, the statutory redundancy payments must be paid so other benefits would need to be adjusted to ensure the £95k is not breached (although some exceptions apply).</p> <p>The consultation is not clear on how this would work in Schemes such as the LGPS. It is likely that LGPS Regulations would need to be changed such that an employee who leaves aged 55 over on redundancy grounds would face some reductions to their pension. For non-redundancy cases, existing employer discretions may become limited.</p> <p>Furthermore, the likely implementation date is also not clear.</p>	PEN021
The Pension Regulator	Governance and administration risks in public service pension schemes: an engagement report	<a href="https://www.thepensionsregulator.gov.uk/en/document-library/research-and-analysis/governance-and-administration-risks-in-public-service-pension-schemes-an-engagement-report?utm_source=D365&amp;utm_medium=email&amp;utm_campaign=272_TPR_LGPS&amp;utm_content=cohortreport">https://www.thepensionsregulator.gov.uk/en/document-library/research-and-analysis/governance-and-administration-risks-in-public-service-pension-schemes-an-engagement-report?utm_source=D365&amp;utm_medium=email&amp;utm_campaign=272_TPR_LGPS&amp;utm_content=cohortreport</a>	New	<p>tPR have produced a report showing their findings from their engagement with 10 Local Government Funds, selected from across the UK, to understand scheme managers' approaches to a number of key risks. As part of each engagement, they have fed back on what they see as good practice and suggested improvements that could be made.</p> <p>Officers have self-assessed the Fund's performance against the recommendations and outlined these in Local Pension Board meeting on 14 November 2019, item 17.</p>	None
The Pension Regulator	Regulatory Intervention report: London Borough of Barnet	<a href="https://www.thepensionsregulator.gov.uk/en/document-library/enforcement-activity/regulatory-intervention-reports/london-">https://www.thepensionsregulator.gov.uk/en/document-library/enforcement-activity/regulatory-intervention-reports/london-</a>	New	<p>tPR has publicised the actions it has taken in relation to the London Borough of Barnet Superannuation Fund (a LGPS Fund) in order for the Fund to make what tPR considered to be necessary improvements.</p> <p>As this is the first time tPR have issued an Improvement Notice to a LGPS Fund, the report provides a useful overview of the type of approach tPR would take when it identifies material issues in a LGPS Fund.</p>	None

Organisation	Subject	Link	Status	Comments	Risk Ref
		<a href="#">borough-of-barnet-superannuation-fund-regulatory-intervention-report</a>			
MHCLG	Fair Deal Consultation	<a href="https://www.gov.uk/government/consultations/local-government-pension-scheme-fair-deal-strengthening-pension-protection">https://www.gov.uk/government/consultations/local-government-pension-scheme-fair-deal-strengthening-pension-protection</a>	No change	Officers have responded to the consultation but have yet to hear anything further from MHCLG. The next step is likely to be either another consultation or the introduction of legislation.	PEN040
	<i>Changes to the Local Valuation Cycle and the Management of Employer risk Consultation</i>	<a href="https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/800321/LGPS_valuation_cycle_reform_consultation.pdf">https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/800321/LGPS_valuation_cycle_reform_consultation.pdf</a>	No change since the last meeting	<p>This consultation covers the following areas:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1). Amendments to the local fund valuations from the current three-year (triennial) to a four-year (quadrennial) cycle.</li> <li>2). A number of measures aimed at mitigating the risks of moving from triennial to quadrennial cycles.</li> <li>3). Proposals for flexibility on exit payments.</li> <li>4). Proposals for further policy changes to exit credits</li> <li>5). Proposals for policy changes to employers required to offer LGPS Membership.</li> </ol> <p>Section 5 proposes giving greater flexibility for further education corporations, sixth form college corporations and higher education corporations concerning membership of the LGPS and is the most surprising part of this proposal; current employees would be protected but future employees could be ineligible.</p> <p>The consultation closed on 31 July 2019 and officers responded accordingly.</p>	PEN044

Organisation	Subject	Link	Status	Comments	Risk Ref
The Department of Work and Pensions (DWP)	Pension dashboard project	<a href="https://pensionsdashboardproject.uk/industry/about-the-pensions-dashboard-project/">https://pensionsdashboardproject.uk/industry/about-the-pensions-dashboard-project/</a>	No change since the last meeting	Discussions are still going on at a national level. Recent discussion suggests an implementation timeframe of 3-4 years.	PEN038
Financial Reporting Council	Proposed revision to the UK Stewardship Code	<a href="https://www.frc.org.uk/investors/uk-stewardship-code">https://www.frc.org.uk/investors/uk-stewardship-code</a>	No change since the last meeting	The Financial Reporting Council (FRC) published the revised Stewardship Code on <b>24<sup>th</sup> October 2019</b> which sets substantially higher expectations for investor stewardship policy and practice.  Officers will now review Fund compliance to the new code and begin drafting a new Statement of Compliance for review by the FRC.	None
Scheme Advisory Board (SAB)	Academies' review	<a href="http://www.lgpsboard.org/index.php/structure-reform/review-of-academies">http://www.lgpsboard.org/index.php/structure-reform/review-of-academies</a>	No change since the last meeting	SAB commissioned PwC to produce a report on "Options for Academies in the LGPS" and the report was published in May 2017. The report identified and highlighted problems/issues experienced by stakeholders. No recommendations were made in the report, although the potential benefits of new approaches to the management of academies within the LGPS were highlighted. The proposals were wide ranging from minor alterations to academies being grouped together in a single LGPS Fund.  SAB's work is still on-going and Bob Holloway from the LGA previously stated that a wide range of options in both work streams are still be considered. For example, changing the administration arrangements or putting academies into their own Fund etc. However, a consultation will be released on any changes proposed before they are put into force.	None
	Cost cap mechanism & McCloud case	<a href="#">Summary by Osborne Clarke (our external legal advisers)</a>	Updated	The planned changes to the LGPS from 1 April 2019 have now been cancelled due to an on-going court case (referred to as the McCloud case) which now looks likely to result in material changes to the LGPS and all other public service schemes. The Government asked to appeal against the conclusion from the original court case, but its appeal was turned down in early July 2019 which means changes are necessary.  The SAB decided to await the outcome to the court case before making any changes. This is far from ideal, as this could well mean we made to make onerous retrospective changes to the Scheme (w/e	PEN042

Organisation	Subject	Link	Status	Comments	Risk Ref
				from April 2019 but not known until the end of 2019/early 2020) and that such changes would not be included within the triennial valuation.	
	Tier 3 employers review	<a href="http://www.lgpsboard.org/index.php/board-publications/invitation-to-bid">http://www.lgpsboard.org/index.php/board-publications/invitation-to-bid</a>	No change since the last meeting	Covers those Fund employers with no tax raising powers or guarantee (excludes academies). SAB is keen to identify the issues and risks related to these employers' participation in the LGPS and to see if any improvements/changes can be made. There are currently two concurrent phases of work involved – collating data and identification of issues. SAB will then assess the risks to Funds and consider next steps. Aon Hewitt has recently produced a detailed report which is available on the SAB website which outlines its findings on the identification of issues but the report doesn't make any specific recommendations. SAB is yet to advise what actions it will take following receipt of the report.	None
	Good Governance Project (formerly known as the Separation Project)	<a href="http://www.lgpsboard.org/images/PDF/BoardFeb18/PaperBItem50218.pdf">http://www.lgpsboard.org/images/PDF/BoardFeb18/PaperBItem50218.pdf</a>	Updated	Hymans-Robertson has now released its report on this; it has been accepted by SAB and SAB will now determine the follow on actions.	None
	Guidance Project	<a href="http://www.lgpsboard.org/images/PDF/BoardFeb18/PaperBItem50218.pdf">http://www.lgpsboard.org/images/PDF/BoardFeb18/PaperBItem50218.pdf</a>	No change since the last meeting	The Guidance project will identify regulations which may be better placed within statutory guidance and to both propose the necessary amendments and assist HMCLG with the drafting of guidance. This project is at an early stage and no further information is available at this time.	PEN039
	Data Project	<a href="http://www.lgpsboard.org/images/PDF/BoardFeb18/PaperBItem50218.pdf">http://www.lgpsboard.org/images/PDF/BoardFeb18/PaperBItem50218.pdf</a>	No change since the last meeting	The SAB describes this project as: The Data project will aim to assist administering authorities in meeting the Pension Regulators requirements for monitoring and improving data and include the identification of scheme specific conditional data and the production of guidance for authorities and employers. No further information is currently available from the SAB. However, the SAB did consult on a common set of data points for the part of the project relating to scheme specific conditional data over the last couple of months before deciding to postpone implementation until 2019, in time for the 2019 tPR Scheme Return.	None

Organisation	Subject	Link	Status	Comments	Risk Ref
Wiltshire Pension Fund	Miscellaneous Updates	None	New	<p>a). <u>Terms of reference</u>: The proposed Terms of Reference for the Local Pension Board and Wiltshire Pension Fund Committee have now been amended and are ready to be re-submitted to the Constitutional Focus Group before progressing to the Standards Committee and Full Council for approval.</p> <p>The Local Pension Board ToR have only had relatively minor changes while the Committee ToR have been updated to bring them up to a similar level of detail to the LPB.</p> <p>b). <u>Triennial valuation update</u>: The actuary presented the results of the triennial valuation to the Committee and employers on 25 October 2019. Officers are now in the process of circulating all employer level results and agreeing final contribution rates with employers within the parameters agreed by the Committee in the funding strategy statement.</p> <p>c). <u>Funding strategy statement</u>: The revised funding strategy statement will now been published following the end of the statutory consultation with employers (no responses)</p> <p>d). <u>I-connect</u>: The Fund has now gone live with its first three employers after a period of testing and dual running and is now looking to onboard on average 10 employers per month over a period for around 1.5 years until it has complete coverage of employers.</p> <p>e). <u>Scheme Return</u>: At the time of writing, officers are currently in processing of completing the Pension Regulator Scheme return (which is due by 16 November).</p>	None